

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/122,293

Applicant(s)

Sakayori et al.

Examiner

Ivshadullah

Group Art Unit

2163

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) James Hwa (attorney) (3) Kyle Choi (primary examiner)  
(2) Ivshadullah (examiner) (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 3/13/02

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: Independent claims discussed specifically (1 & 11)

Identification of prior art discussed:

Dworkin, InuiAgreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

It was agreed that although Dworkin teaches "expansion means" it does not teach expansion means communicating to the third domain the expanded parts and the third domain communicating an order for each of the expanded parts. In response to Applicant's forthcoming response, a new office action applying a different reference, if any, will be issued. It was agreed that references will be supplied for the official notices, if still applicable, in the next Office action. As to the Inui reference, examiner feels it is still a valid reference, but in light of the ~~agreement~~ agreement above, a revised argument will be made, if still applicable, after a response to the action is received.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

